

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,561	09/01/2006	Per Beming	P18224-US1	1616	
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 07/28/201	EXAMINER			
6300 LEGACY		REGO, DOMINIC E			
M/S EVR 1-C- PLANO, TX 7:			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1221.0, 1117.	5021		2618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kara.coffman@ericsson.com jennifer.hardin@ericsson.com melissa.rhea@ericsson.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/550,561	BEMING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DOMINIC E. REGO	2618		
	10/550,561 Examiner	10/550,561 BEMING ET AL. Examiner Art Unit	

	DOMINIC E. REGO	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A overth, however, will the statutory period for reply expire tel Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.070; Extensions of time may be oblished under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as en if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-13,15-20,27-38 and 40-44.		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 45 and 46.			
Claim(s) rejected: 45 and 40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Dominic E Rego/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 45 and 46, Applicant states "In responding to Applicants prior arguments, the Examiner suggested that claim 1 be amended to reflect the argument example, wherein the first radio network entity and the second radio network entity are a radio base station and a radio network controller, respectively, which the Examiner indicated as not being laught by the prior art. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with that statement, There were nowhere in the Response to Argument the Examiner stated 'the Examiner indicated as not being laught by the prior art,' but since the Applicant was arguing something that wasn't found or recited in the claim, that's why the Examiner pointed out that the Applicant is advised to put the limitations "the outer loop power control is performed in a second radio network entity (e.g. a radio network controller) and the first radio network entity in claim 1 corresponding to a radio base station" in the claim for argumental purpose because the ilimitations weren't found in the claim and it will be easier for the Examiner to move in right direction, Independent claims 45 and 46 have similar limitations seneral from disependent claims, so see Final rejection and the response to argument for more details.